



Theme 1 / Cohabitation in governance: a need for new policy approaches?

Thème 1 / La cohabitation en gouvernance : vers de nouvelles approches en politique publique?



STRATEGIC DIALOGUE ON CLIMATE CHANGE POLICY RESEARCH IN CANADA  
RECHERCHE EN POLITIQUES CLIMATIQUES AU CANADA : UN ATELIER-DIALOGUE STRATÉGIQUE



IVEY foundation





## Ground Rules

- Chatham House rule
- Schedule will be enforced
- Meeting etiquette: raise hand, mute mic, short intervention
- Don't forget online discussions
- Territory Acknowledgement : <http://native-land.ca>




## *Consignes*

- Règles de *Chatham House*
- L'horaire sera respecté avec précision
- Étiquette de la rencontre: levez votre main (virtuellement), coupez votre micro et faites des interventions courtes
- N'oubliez pas les discussions en ligne
- Reconnaissance des territoires: <http://native-land.ca>



# The task

# *La tâche*





# Workshop Objectives



- Foster **constructive dialogue** on critical climate choices facing Canada
- Identify **research priorities**, opportunities for **collaboration**, and **knowledge gaps** in Canada's climate change research and policy landscape
- Help **inform the research agenda** for the Canadian Institute for Climate Choices
- Identify **opportunities for complementary research** across organizations
- **Strengthen relationships** among top climate policy researchers and thinkers across Canada



# Framing document's questions

1. What framework can be used to ensure effectiveness and efficiency of climate policies in the Canadian context?
2. What are the benefits of increased collaboration within the same order of government or among orders of governments across Canada? How is it possible to drive this collaboration?
3. What new policy approaches could be designed to achieve GHG 2030 targets and 2050 objectives while also incorporating other social and economic priorities like skills development, job creation, equity and inclusion?
4. Is there any current policy – or group of policies – that could be made more effective by giving proper attention to the cohabitation reality?
5. How can policy cohabitation challenges be addressed in order to improve the management of the transformations to Canada's natural resources sector expected as a result of climate-related efforts?



# Questions from discussion /1

- Status quo vs overhaul
  - “often within a level of government, there is very little policy coherence and understanding of how different policies interact”
  - Should that be solved?
  - Can it be without an overhaul?
- Transforming decision-making
  - Reframe it as institutional innovation?
  - Deeper: finer-grained approach to intergovernmental relations
  - Wider: how can that be done at scale on the required time scale?



# Questions from discussion /2



- Successful collaborations
  - Policy fields in Canada where collaborations was/is considered very successful for various governance levels
  - Is the overall/potential impact on GHG an essential ingredient to evaluate success?
  - How relevant is it to look at groups (EU, Switzerland, USA) that already exist and leveraging those existing governance structures for climate policy




# Questions from discussion /3



- Beyond PCF
  - Is there space for intergovernmental agreements?
  - Any short-term opportunities to showcase a success
- “Do no harm, and leave no one behind”
  - Should equity and justice be dealt with firsthand or can we correct afterwards?





# Expériences québécoises



CONTRIBUTION DES COORDONNATEURS  
DES GROUPES DE TRAVAIL DE LA SOCIÉTÉ CIVILE  
CONDITIONS GAGNANTES ET PRINCIPES PARTAGÉS

Présentée dans le cadre des travaux  
d'élaboration du Plan d'électrification et de  
changements climatiques (PECC)




# Discussion



- Knowledge gaps?

- Policy shortcomings?

- Research to prioritize?



- Short-term actions?